



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/194,165	05/11/1999	LYNN PERKES	09143/005001	2876

7590 05/01/2003

RICHARD J. ANDERSON  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C., P.A.  
60 SOUTH SIXTH STREET  
SUITE 3300  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER
----------

PATTEN, PATRICIA A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1654

29

DATE MAILED: 05/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SM

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/194,165

Applicant(s)

Perkes, L.

Examiner

Patricia Patten

Art Unit

1654



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 20, 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 48-77 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 48-77 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 24,25,26 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 1654

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 48-77 remain pending in the application and were presented for examination on the merits.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

Claims 48-77 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicant's arguments were fully considered, however, they were not found persuasive to overcome the rejections at hand.

Applicant principally argues that the ordinary artisan would be able to make and use the invention without undue experimentation. Applicant argues that because the

Art Unit: 1654

claims have been amended to recite wherein the grape seed and skin extracts contain a flavonoid, that the ordinary artisan would have been able to obtain these extracts from common suppliers as indicated on page 4 of the Arguments.

The Invention as a whole has been considered with respect to the information within the Instant specification as well as what is known in the prior art. As clearly indicated as well as evidenced in the previous Office Action, plant extracts are quite unpredictable and the evaluation of many pharmaceutically active plant extracts have yet to be completed.

As the state of the art stands, it is understood that grape skin extracts as well as grape seed extracts were known in the art. Applicant contends that because one could potentially purchase a grape seed/skin extract which contains flavonoids, that the claims of the Instant invention are enabled in that they have taught the artisan how to make and use the Invention.

It is known in the art that grapes, as well as most fruits contain many flavonoids with diverse structures and varying pharmacological abilities. It is also known in the art that most grape extracts contain flavonoids. However, *what* grape seed/skin extract is Applicant referring to? Although Applicant points out where to purchase these grape

Art Unit: 1654

seed/skin extracts, there is no teaching in the Instant specification what grape seed/skin extract is *actually the invention*. The ordinary artisan could *never* determine *what* extract containing *what* flavonoid the claims are referring to, *even after performing undue experimentation* due to the lack of information in the Instant specification.

Therefore, the skilled artisan would have no reasonable expectation of success to ascertain what grape extracts the claims are referring to. The skilled artisan would not be able to ascertain how to make the grape seed or grape skin extract upon reading the Instant disclosure. Typically one can look to the specification for guidance of how to make the Instantly claimed invention, in the Instant case, the Specification fails to provide that guidance.

No Claims are allowed.

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1654

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Patricia Patten, whose telephone number is (703)308-1189. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Brenda Brumback is on 703-306-3220. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized, cursive 'C' followed by 'R. TATE'.

**CHRISTOPHER R. TATE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**